

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/619,424	07/16/2003	Rudiger Kurtz	P23754	7328	
7055	7590 07/05/2005		EXAMINER		
GREENBLUM & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C. 1950 ROLAND CLARKE PLACE			LAMB, BRENDA A		
RESTON, VA			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
ŕ			1734		
			DATE MAILED: 07/05/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				. 1.			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	(P			
		10/619,424	KURTZ ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
<u> </u>		Brenda A. Lamb	1734				
Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is provided to the provision of the provision of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is provided to period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONF	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D. (35 U.S.C. & 133)				
Status			·				
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 Fe	ebruary 2005.					
2a)⊠		action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠ 5)□	Claim(s) 1-15 and 33 is/are pending in the appleau (a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-15 and 33 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r. ·					
)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the o						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ty documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage				
Attachmen	t(s)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)				
3) 🔯 Inforn	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>1/13/2005</u> .	Paper No(s)/Mail Dai 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/619,424

Art Unit: 1734

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-15 and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by WO 01/98585.

WO '585 as shown in figure 2 teaches a device for impregnating a web with an impregnating agent comprising the following elements: a coating device structured and arranged to apply the impregnating agent to the web; and a wide nip calendar located, with respect to a web travel direction, before the coating device, the wide nip calendar comprising a circulating jacket and a back pressure element, a belt passing over a stationary shoe, arranged to form a wide nip calendar (see page 7 lines 11-18). WO '585 teaches at page 8 line 32 to page 8 line 5 the fibers are permanently deformed by precalendering and therefore the web compression as a result of the taught permanent deformation of the fibers is inherently still present when the web enters the coating device. With respect to claim 2, WO '585 apparatus is capable of applying an impregnating agent which is comprised of a starch solution or other coating agents commonly used in paper upgrading since WO 585 teaches every structural element of the claimed apparatus. With respect to claim 3, WO 585 apparatus is capable of applying an impregnating agent which is comprised of a starch size WO '585 teaches

Page 3

every structural element to the claimed apparatus. With respect to claim 4, WO '585 apparatus is capable of applying an impregnating agent to a web which is comprised of one of a paper or cardboard web since WO '585 teaches every structural element of the claimed apparatus. With respect to claim 5, WO '585 apparatus is capable of applying an impregnating agent to a web wherein the web has a basis weight over 40g/m since WO '585 teaches every structural element of the claimed apparatus. With respect to claim 6-7, WO '585 is silent as to web processing devices provided between the wide nip calender and the coating device thereby reading on the negatively claimed limitation that no web processing devices are provided between the wide nip claender and the coating device. Further, WO '585 shows in Figure 1 at least one guide device is arranged between the wide nip and the coating device. With respect to claim 8-10, WO '585 teaches the wide nip calender is comprised of a heating device, roll 1, which is a back pressure element having a surface structured and arrange to guide the web through the wide nip, and the surface having a temperature adjustable to within the scope of the claim. With respect to claim 11, WO '585 teaches the coater is a film press. With respect to claim 14, WO '585 teaches the wide nip calender is adjustably heated to at least the plasticizing temperature of the fibers of the web (see page 8 lines 1-7 of WO '585). With respect to claims 12 and 15, WO '585 teaches at page 9 lines 7-8 a drying area which broadly reads on area whereby the web is dried which is arranged after the coating device and a reeling device wherein the drying area and a reeling device are each arranged downstream of the coating device. Further, WO '585 fails to teach a glazing device arranged between the coating device and the reeling device

Art Unit: 1734

thereby reading on the negative limitation of no glazing device arranged between the coating device and the reeling device. With respect to claim 13, WO '585 wide nip calender is capable of being heated to a temperature higher than the drying area or area whereby the web is dried since WO '585 teaches the wide nip calender includes a heating means for heating the wide nip calender. With respect to claim 33, as discussed above, WO '585, as shown in figure 2, teaches a device for impregnating the web with an impregnating agent comprising the following elements: a coating device structured and arranged to apply the impregnating agent to the web; and a wide nip calendar located, with respect to a web travel direction, before the coating device, the wide nip calendar comprising a circulating jacket and a back pressure element, a belt passing over a stationary shoe, arranged to form a wide nip calendar (see page 7 lines 11-18). Further, WO '585 coater reads on a film press since WO '585 teaches a film or layer of coating is applied onto the web as the web travels through a nip N' of the rollers.

Applicant's arguments filed 2/28/2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant's argument that WO '585 fails to discloses the distance between the precalendar and water is such that web compression is still present when the web enters the coating device is found to be non-persuasive. WO '585 teaches the fibers are permanently deformed by precalendaring and therefore the web compression which occur as a result of the permanent fiber deformation is such that the web is still compressed as it travels through the nip of the coater means thereby reading or the

Application/Control Number: 10/619,424

Art Unit: 1734

Page 5

claimed limitation distance between the precalender and coater is such that web compression is still present.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Brenda A Lamb at telephone number (571)-272-1231. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday and Wednesday thru Friday with alternate Tuesdays off.

Brenda A. Lamb/af

June 16, 2005

BRENDA A. LAMB DIMARY EXAMINER